



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,781	07/10/2003	Luca Rampoldi	240058US0	1487
22850 75	590 04/06/2006		EXAM	INER
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			GEORGE, KONATA M	
ALEXANDRIA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1616	
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary					
		10/615,781	RAMPOLDI ET AL.		
	Office Action Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	The MAILING DATE of this accommissed as a second	Konata M. George	1616		
Period f	 The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply 	lears on the cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address –		
WHI - Exte afte - If N - Fail Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period warre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 No.	ovember 2005.			
•		action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4\⊠	Claim(s) 9-23 is/are pending in the application.				
الحيار.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
·	Claim(s) <u>9-23</u> is/are rejected.				
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r			
• —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		- - - - -		
ال (۱۰	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				
·	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H S C & 110(a)	⊢(d) or (f)		
•	✓ All b) Some * c) None of:		-(d) or (i).		
u,	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •			
	application from the International Bureau	·	G		
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, ,,	d.		
		·			
Attachmer	nt(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)		
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	, ,		

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 9-23 are pending in this application.

Action Summary

1. Examiner acknowledges the cancellation of claims 1-8. Therefore, any and all rejections and/or objections are hereby withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 9-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shastri et al. (US 2003/0078215 A1) in view of RxList Monographs (2002).

Shastri et al. discloses a composition comprising a bioactive agent and a complexing agent (abstract). Paragraphs [0020-0022] teach the bioactive agents are antibiotics and that fosfomycin can be used. Paragraph [0082] teaches the composition can be used for oral administration in the form of granules and that excipients such as sodium bicarbonate can be employed in the formulation. The prior art does not teach administering the composition in a single dose of 5.631 g or the molar ratio of the substance with respect to the fosfomycin.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,781 Page 3

Art Unit: 1616

RxList Monographs disclose a composition for fosfomycin in the form of granules consisting of 5.631 g of fosfomycin.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of RxList Monographs that 5.631 g of fosfomycin is the industry standard dosage amount for fosfomycin. With respect to the claimed molar ratios, absent a clear showing of criticality, the determination of particular molar ratio is within the skill of the ordinary worker as part of the process of normal optimization to achieve the desired results of the claimed composition.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed November 17, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants amended the claims to recite that the fosfomycin contains a tromethamol salt and thus is not taught by the prior art. It is the position of the examiner that the bioactive agents of the prior art as recited are directed towards the broad disclosure of the active agent. One of ordinary skill in the art of drug preparation would have the understanding of preparing that active agent with a salt, if the salt of the drug would better suit the preparation.

Conclusion

4. Claims 9-23 are pending.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,781

Art Unit: 1616

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Telephone Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Konata M. George, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0613. The examiner can normally be reached from 8AM to 6:30PM Monday to Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached at (571) 272-0887. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8000 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,781

Art Unit: 1616

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Konata M. George

JOHN PAK PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1000